

The Cusp of Worldwide Inflation

BY RONALD MCKINNON

*How the Federal Reserve
is making the global
economy less stable.*

What do the years 1971, 2003, and 2010 have in common? In each case, an easy U.S. monetary policy was accompanied by a weakening dollar—as with the dramatic Nixon shock of August 1971 when the other industrial countries were all forced to appreciate against the dollar. In all three cases, low U.S. interest rates and the expectation of further dollar depreciation led to massive “hot” money outflows from the United States. The world, then as now, is on a dollar standard where most goods entering foreign trade are invoiced in dollars. Thus, in all three cases, foreign central banks intervened heavily to buy dollars to prevent further appreciations and losses of international competitiveness against their neighbors.

When any central bank on the dollar standard’s “periphery” issues base money to buy dollars, domestic interest rates are forced down and domestic inflationary pressure is generated. It may try to sterilize this monetary expansion and/or impose controls on capital inflows, but these measures are hard to enforce. The relevant periphery in the monetary expansion of the 1970s was the pre-euro countries of Western Europe plus Canada and Japan, whereas today it is more the high-growth emerging markets of Asia (China, India, and a host of smaller ones) and of Latin America (Brazil, Mexico, and so on). If most peripheral central banks expand simultaneously, the result is generalized worldwide inflation.

Ronald McKinnon is Professor Emeritus of International Economics at Stanford University.

THE INTERNATIONAL
ECONOMY

THE MAGAZINE OF
INTERNATIONAL ECONOMIC POLICY

888 16th Street, N.W.

Suite 740

Washington, D.C. 20006

Phone: 202-861-0791

Fax: 202-861-0790

www.international-economy.com

editor@international-economy.com


Primary commodity prices register enhanced inflationary expectations more quickly because speculators can easily bid for long positions in organized commodity futures markets. Excluding oil, Figure 1 shows the surge in the dollar prices of primary commodity prices in 1971–73 coincident with, and following, the anticipated Nixon shock of 1971. Figure 1 also shows the initial commodity price surge during the Greenspan-Bernanke shock of 2003–04, when the federal funds interest rate was reduced to an unprecedented low of just 1 percent followed by a falling dollar (with U.S. government encouragement).

Now with the Bernanke shock, where the Fed has set U.S. short-term interest rates at essentially zero since September 2008 followed in 2010 by two rounds of quantitative easing to drive down long rates, Figure 1 shows primary commodity prices in 2009–10 surging once again. Just in 2010 alone, all items in *The Economist's* dollar commodity price index rose 33.5 percent, while the industrial raw materials component soared a remarkable 37.4 percent.

The longer-term inflationary and economic consequences over the next decade of this most recent U.S. loose money shock remain to be seen. But we can glean useful hints by looking at the aftermaths of the two earlier shocks. In the 1970s stagflation, CPI inflation combined with cyclical bouts of unemployment and wide swings in exchange rates seemed intractable. Productivity growth in the mature industrial countries fell sharply.

A necessary but savage disinflationary policy in the early 1980s, where Fed Chairman Paul Volcker imposed extremely high interest rates (the Fed funds rate touched 22 percent in July 1981), ultimately succeeded in killing the inflation and inflationary expectations. With credibility in America's long-run monetary policy restored, hot

Fig. 1 Selected Monetary-Exchange Rate Shocks on Commodity Prices



Source: CRB Spot Index (excluding oil). All prices in dollars.

The Greenspan-Bernanke interest rate shock of 2003–04, followed by a weakening dollar into the first half of 2008, created what became known as the “bubble” economy.

money, which had flowed out in the inflationary 1970s, came back with a vengeance. The dollar soared in the foreign exchange markets and became extremely overvalued by the end of 1984. To prevent even more precipitous depreciations of their currencies, foreign central banks were forced to sell dollars and let their domestic money supplies contract. At the storm's center, the combination of high interest rates, high dollar, and large Reagan fiscal deficits caused a sharp increase in the U.S. trade deficit—mainly in manufactures. The upshot was the worldwide recession of 1982–83 and the creation of America's “rust bowl,” particularly in the industrial belt of the midwest.

The Greenspan-Bernanke interest rate shock of 2003–04, followed by a weakening dollar into the first half of 2008, created what became known as the “bubble” economy (Figure 2). Primary commodity prices began rising significantly in 2003–04, then flattened out before spiking in 2007 into the first half of 2008. But the biggest bubble in economic terms was in real estate—both commercial and residential. With low mortgage interest rates and no restraining regulation on mortgage quality, average U.S. home prices rose more than 50 percent from the beginning of 2003 to the middle of 2006. This led to an unsustainable building boom—with echoes around the world in such countries as the United Kingdom, Spain, and Ireland. The bubbles in primary commodity prices collapsed mainly in the second half of 2008. But the residue of bad debts, particularly ongoing mortgage defaults, led to the banking crisis and global downturn of 2008 into 2009—all too painfully well-known.

So what lessons can we draw from these episodes of U.S. easy money *cum* weak dollar for the stability of the American economy itself?

Continued on page 69


Continued from page 31

First, sharp general price increases in auction-market goods such as primary commodities or foreign exchange, that is, a weakening dollar, are a useful early warning to the Fed that it is being too easy—a warning that the Fed is again ignoring as we enter 2011.

Second, beyond the rise in primary commodity prices, general price inflation in the United States only comes with long and variable lags. After the U.S. monetary shock, hot money flows into countries on the dollar standard's periphery cause a loss of monetary control and general inflation to show up there relatively quickly. In 2010,

*American policymakers have frequently
ignored foreign complaints.*

Fig. 2 The Greenspan-Bernanke Bubble Economy



Source: Bloomberg and Federal Reserve economic data.

CPIs have shot up over 5 percent in major emerging markets such as China, Brazil, and Indonesia, while the CPI in the United States itself rose only 1.2 percent. Similarly, after the Nixon shock of 1971, there was much more explosive inflation in Japan in 1972–73 than in the United States. But by December 1979, inflation in America's producer and consumer price indexes was more than 13 percent.

The United States is a sovereign country that has the right to follow its own monetary policy. By an accident of history, however, since 1945 it is also the center of the world dollar standard—which remains surprisingly robust to the present day. So the choice of monetary policy by the U.S. Federal Reserve can strongly affect its neighbors for better or for worse. Beginning with the Nixon shock in 1971, American policymakers have frequently ignored foreign complaints. But by ignoring inflationary early warning signals on the dollar standard's periphery, with eventual lagged feedback effects into the U.S. price level or further asset bubbles, the Fed has made both the world and American economies much less stable. ◆